

DOCKET 04-207
TSR 44

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL NOV - 2 2007

FILED/ACCEPTED

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

9/21/2007 3:53:18 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to arichardson@gr-pr.com.

arichardson@gr-pr.com wrote on 9/21/2007 10:23:34 AM :

Dear Mr. Martin,

I support a la carte cable programming. I want to be able to pick the channels I watch and not pay for the hundreds of other channels. What can I do to help make a la carte programming a reality?

Thanks much,

Andy Richardson

Andy Richardson
Ginny Richardson Public Relations
15 Salt Creek Lane, Suite 101
Hinsdale, IL 60521
(630) 789-8555
visit us online at: gr-pr.com

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

**DOCKET 04-207
TSR 44**

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

9/20/2007 3:43:36 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to pfelice@gmail.com.

pfelice@gmail.com wrote on 9/20/2007 12:22:02 PM :

Dear Mr. Chairman,

Recently, I contacted my local cable company (Insight Communications serving Lexington, KY) to enquire as to the possibility of adding one channel to my cable service. A little 8-year old girl in my house would like to watch The Disney Channel, but as her mother and I do not watch television for the most part, we only have the basic service to get local news and the digital broadband package for Internet access.

The customer service representative at Insight informed me that I would have to upgrade to a digital package and add 50 channels to my service in order to get this one channel. This would bring my cable bill from \$66/month to a whopping \$101/month, which is a 53% increase in cost. I am astounded by this, and I've calculated that I would ultimately pay \$420 per year to get the Disney Channel for this little girl. And now we have to break the bad news to her; we can't justify the cost.

Mr. Martin, this is nothing short of an outrage. I fail to understand why, in a society that purportedly abhors monopolistic practices, I should be forced to pay for 49 channels full of God knows what, in order to get one wholesome and educational channel for one little girl. It's one thing to offer package deals to afford a discount to subscribers who CHOOSE this option. When the only game in town, however, begins to strong-arm subscribers into gross overpayment for unwanted services, it's the explicit duty of the commission tasked with the oversight of these services to intervene and provide relief.

It seems that one of two courses of action are obvious and compulsory:

- 1) Conduct an investigation into the practices of cable television service providers. When you find that these forced bundle packages certainly do not meet the public interest, convenience or necessity that your commission is tasked with preserving, conduct a hearing with Congress to pursue relief.
- 2) Immediately submit a public and comprehensive explanation as to why an investigation and subsequent hearing are not warranted.

Thank you for your time, and I await your response to my concerns.

Sincerely,

Paul C. Felice
956 Lily Dr,
Lexington, KY 40504
859-421-3100
pfelice@gmail.com

P.S. I am copying Phil Kadner, the Daily Southtown (Chicago) columnist who was good enough to provide your contact information in his article "FCC chairman backs a la carte cable" on 9.20.07. I am also copying the Public Communications department at the National Cable and Telecommunications Association. The NCTA in 2002 re-elected Insight Communications' CEO Michael S. Willner as Chairman of the former's board of directors. (I am unable to find contact information for Mr. Willner or any customer service e-mail address for Insight Communications.)

DOCKET 04-207
TSR 44

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

9/20/2007 3:43:08 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to cshanle@sbcglobal.net.

cshanle@sbcglobal.net wrote on 9/20/2007 11:30:03 AM :

Dear Mr. Martin:

Please advise what we can do about making a la carte cable programming a reality. We seniors are extremely upset being required to pay for channels we don't want.

Anything you can do would be helpful to the people.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Charles Shanle

Oak Lawn, IL

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Docket #04-207
TSR44

9/20/2007 3:42:01 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to davenarlene@sbcglobal.net.

davenarlene@sbcglobal.net wrote on 9/20/2007 9:49:40 AM :

Mr. Kevin Martin,

Sir, I would just like to let you know that I am 100% for a la carte cable. I had extended basic from Comcast but had to drop it because I could not afford it. I was paying for channels that I never watched. I believe we should only pay for what we want. When they go to all digital we will not be able to have cable at all. We can't afford to change our tv or get a new one and then have to pay a high rate. If it is in your power to do something about it, please do.

Thank you,
David C. Charleston

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

DOCKET 04-207
TSR 44

9/14/2007 8:43:56 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to dunleavyk@hotmail.com.

dunleavyk@hotmail.com wrote on 9/13/2007 10:59:56 PM :

Karen Dunleavy
1121 Hobson St.
Walla walla, WA 99362-2454

September 13, 2007

Kevin Martin
FCC Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Kevin Martin:

I am disgusted to learn that I am being forced to help pay for scenes describing bestiality and other depraved behavior on the FX network's Nip/Tuck with my cable subscription. In the episode that aired on September 26, a plastic surgeon treats a female patient who says her nipple was torn off when she tried to break up a dogfight. She is desperate to have the injury repaired and undetectable before her husband returns from Iraq. The husband returns after the surgery and confronts her in the doctor's office, revealing that she used peanut butter to seduce her dog and implying that her nipple was actually torn off when she was having sex with the dog. It is outrageous that this kind of material is airing on television - period. Nip/Tuck is not my choice, and I don't want it coming into my home. But it is inexcusable for the cable industry to force me to pay for this content with my monthly cable subscription.

The solution is so simple - but so far Congress has done nothing but appease the deep-pocketed cable industry. What about consumers' rights?

Give us cable choice. Offering parents the ability to choose the channels they want, and to pay only for those channels, puts power back in the hands of the consumer - of parents - and forces the producers of indecent or violent programming to fund their own raunch. It is the only fair solution. Why should I be forced to pay for programming that insults my intelligence and assaults my values just to gain access to a handful of channels I can watch with my family. The cable industry has been carried on the backs of American consumers long enough. It is time for this extortion to end.

Sincerely,

Karen Dunleavy
509/240/1598

This is Docket#04-207. CABLE CHOICE

AB

9/10/2007 10:14:03 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to gsteale@steelegroup.com.

gsteale@steelegroup.com wrote on 9/8/2007 7:15:37 PM :

Gordon Steele
4001 Anderson Road T-154
Nashville, TN 37217-4715

September 8, 2007

Deborah Tate
FCC Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Deborah Tate:

I am disgusted to learn that I am being forced to help pay for scenes describing bestiality and other depraved behavior on the FX network's Nip/Tuck with my cable subscription. In the episode that aired on September 26, a plastic surgeon treats a female patient who says her nipple was torn off when she tried to break up a dogfight. She is desperate to have the injury repaired and undetectable before her husband returns from Iraq. The husband returns after the surgery and confronts her in the doctor's office, revealing that she used peanut butter to seduce her dog and implying that her nipple was actually torn off when she was having sex with the dog. It is outrageous that this kind of material is airing on television - period. Nip/Tuck is not my choice, and I don't want it coming into my home. But it is inexcusable for the cable industry to force me to pay for this content with my monthly cable subscription.

The solution is so simple - but so far Congress has done nothing but appease the deep-pocketed cable industry. What about consumers' rights?

Give us cable choice. Offering parents the ability to choose the channels they want, and to pay only for those channels, puts power back in the hands of the consumer - of parents - and forces the producers of indecent or violent programming to fund their own raunch. It is the only fair solution. Why should I be forced to pay for programming that insults my intelligence and assaults my values just to gain access to a handful of channels I can watch with my family. The cable industry has been carried on the backs of American consumers long enough. It is time for this extortion to end.

Sincerely,

Gordon Steele
615-361-3836

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

CABLE CHOICE
Docket#04-207

AB

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

9/17/2007 10:46:24 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to quibilsown@yahoo.com.

quibilsown@yahoo.com wrote on 9/16/2007 6:22:33 PM :

laquita williams
496 ridgecrest dr.
taylorsville, KY 40071-9321

September 16, 2007

Jonathan Adelstein
FCC Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I am disgusted to learn that I am being forced to help pay for scenes describing bestiality and other depraved behavior on the FX network's Nip/Tuck with my cable subscription. In the episode that aired on September 26, a plastic surgeon treats a female patient who says her nipple was torn off when she tried to break up a dogfight. She is desperate to have the injury repaired and undetectable before her husband returns from Iraq. The husband returns after the surgery and confronts her in the doctor's office, revealing that she used peanut butter to seduce her dog and implying that her nipple was actually torn off when she was having sex with the dog. It is outrageous that this kind of material is airing on television - period. Nip/Tuck is not my choice, and I don't want it coming into my home. But it is inexcusable for the cable industry to force me to pay for this content with my monthly cable subscription.

The solution is so simple - but so far Congress has done nothing but appease the deep-pocketed cable industry. What about consumers' rights?

Give us cable choice. Offering parents the ability to choose the channels they want, and to pay only for those channels, puts power back in the hands of the consumer - of parents - and forces the producers of indecent or violent programming to fund their own raunch. It is the only fair solution. Why should I be forced to pay for programming that insults my intelligence and assaults my values just to gain access to a handful of channels I can watch with my family. The cable industry has been carried on the backs of American consumers long enough. It is time for this extortion to end.

Sincerely,

laquita williams
5022390659

CABLE CHOICE
Docket#04-207

AB

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

9/10/2007 10:13:29 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to twinkly@zoomtown.com.

twinkly@zoomtown.com wrote on 9/8/2007 1:17:07 PM :

Tracey Jones
1869 Seven Lands Dr
Milford, OH 45150-2668

September 8, 2007

Kevin Martin
FCC Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Kevin Martin:

I am disgusted to learn that I am being forced to help pay for scenes describing bestiality and other depraved behavior on the FX network's Nip/Tuck with my cable subscription. This particular episode is one, out of hundreds of incidents or episodes that show a clear need for viewer choice and control in which programs are coming into our homes. In the episode that aired on September 26, a plastic surgeon treats a female patient who says her nipple was torn off when she tried to break up a dogfight. She is desperate to have the injury repaired and undetectable before her husband returns from Iraq. The husband returns after the surgery and confronts her in the doctor's office, revealing that she used peanut butter to seduce her dog and implying that her nipple was actually torn off when she was having sex with the dog. It is outrageous that this kind of material is airing on television - period. Nip/Tuck is not my choice, and I don't want it coming into my home. But it is inexcusable for the cable industry to force me to pay for this content with my monthly cable subscription. The solution is so simple - but so far Congress has done nothing but appease the deep-pocketed cable industry. What about consumers' rights? Give us cable choice. Offering parents the ability to choose the channels they want; and to pay only for those channels, puts power back in the hands of the consumer - of parents - and forces the producers of indecent or violent programming to fund their own launch. It is the only fair solution. Why should I be forced to pay for programming that insults my intelligence and assaults my values just to gain access to a handful of channels I can watch with my family. The cable industry has been carried on the backs of American consumers long enough. It is time for this extortion to end.

Sincerely,

Tracey L Jones

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

CABLE CHOICE
Docket#04-207

AB

9/10/2007 10:14:52 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to tdchurch@comcast.net.

tdchurch@comcast.net wrote on 9/9/2007 7:43:52 PM :

Tom Church
636 manhattan rd se
grand rapids, MI 49506-2025

September 9, 2007

Jonathan Adelstein
FCC Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I am disgusted to learn that I am being forced to help pay for scenes describing bestiality and other depraved behavior on the FX network's Nip/Tuck with my cable subscription. In the episode that aired on September 26, a plastic surgeon treats a female patient who says her nipple was torn off when she tried to break up a dogfight. She is desperate to have the injury repaired and undetectable before her husband returns from Iraq. The husband returns after the surgery and confronts her in the doctor's office, revealing that she used peanut butter to seduce her dog and implying that her nipple was actually torn off when she was having sex with the dog. It is outrageous that this kind of material is airing on television - period. Nip/Tuck is not my choice, and I don't want it coming into my home. But it is inexcusable for the cable industry to force me to pay for this content with my monthly cable subscription.

The solution is so simple - but so far Congress has done nothing but appease the deep-pocketed cable industry. What about consumers' rights?

Give us cable choice. Offering parents the ability to choose the channels they want, and to pay only for those channels, puts power back in the hands of the consumer - of parents - and forces the producers of indecent or violent programming to fund their own raunch. It is the only fair solution. Why should I be forced to pay for programming that insults my intelligence and assaults my values just to gain access to a handful of channels I can watch with my family. The cable industry has been carried on the backs of American consumers long enough. It is time for this extortion to end.

Sincerely,

Tom Church
616 336 7911

CABLE CHOICE
Docket#04-207

AB

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

8/23/2007 10:06:48 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to dan@prather.us.

dan@prather.us wrote on 8/23/2007 9:38:12 AM :

Dan Prather
506 Snowberry Ct
Noblesville, IN 46062-8719

August 23, 2007

Kevin Martin
FCC Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Kevin Martin:

I am disgusted to learn that I am being forced to help pay for scenes describing bestiality and other depraved behavior on the FX network's Nip/Tuck with my cable subscription. In the episode that aired on September 26, a plastic surgeon treats a female patient who says her nipple was torn off when she tried to break up a dogfight. She is desperate to have the injury repaired and undetectable before her husband returns from Iraq. The husband returns after the surgery and confronts her in the doctor's office, revealing that she used peanut butter to seduce her dog and implying that her nipple was actually torn off when she was having sex with the dog. It is outrageous that this kind of material is airing on television - period. Nip/Tuck is not my choice, and I don't want it coming into my home. But it is inexcusable for the cable industry to force me to pay for this content with my monthly cable subscription.

The solution is so simple - but so far Congress has done nothing but appease the deep-pocketed cable industry. What about consumers' rights?

Give us cable choice. Offering parents the ability to choose the channels they want, and to pay only for those channels, puts power back in the hands of the consumer - of parents - and forces the producers of indecent or violent programming to fund their own raunch. It is the only fair solution. Why should I be forced to pay for programming that insults my intelligence and assaults my values just to gain access to a handful of channels I can watch with my family. The cable industry has been carried on the backs of American consumers long enough. It is time for this extortion to end.

Sincerely,

Dan Prather
317-773-5069

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

CABLE CHOICE AB

Docket#04-207

redneckreneej@yahoo.com wrote on 8/18/2007 12:18:38 PM :

Renee Smith
po box 212
Dawson, TX 76639-0212

August 18, 2007

Kevin Martin
FCC Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Kevin Martin:

I am disgusted to learn that I am being forced to help pay for scenes describing bestiality and other depraved behavior on the FX network's Nip/Tuck with my cable subscription. In the episode that aired on September 26, a plastic surgeon treats a female patient who says her nipple was torn off when she tried to break up a dogfight. She is desperate to have the injury repaired and undetectable before her husband returns from Iraq. The husband returns after the surgery and confronts her in the doctor's office, revealing that she used peanut butter to seduce her dog and implying that her nipple was actually torn off when she was having sex with the dog. It is outrageous that this kind of material is airing on television - period. Nip/Tuck is not my choice, and I don't want it coming into my home. But it is inexcusable for the cable industry to force me to pay for this content with my monthly cable subscription.

The solution is so simple - but so far Congress has done nothing but appease the deep-pocketed cable industry. What about consumers' rights?

Give us cable choice. Offering parents the ability to choose the channels they want, and to pay only for those channels, puts power back in the hands of the consumer - of parents - and forces the producers of indecent or violent programming to fund their own raunch. It is the only fair solution. Why should I be forced to pay for programming that insults my intelligence and assaults my values just to gain access to a handful of channels I can watch with my family. The cable industry has been carried on the backs of American consumers long enough. It is time for this extortion to end.

Sincerely, Renee Smith

Renee Smith
254-578-3816

Docket#04-207.

AB

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

8/6/2007 10:15:12 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to iluvpopcrn@aol.com.

iluvpopcrn@aol.com wrote on 8/3/2007 9:48:35 AM :

Karen Perry
1106 Salt Creek Drive
Ponte Vedra Beach, FL 32082-2533

August 3, 2007

Jonathan Adelstein
FCC Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I am disgusted to learn that I am being forced to help pay for scenes describing bestiality and other depraved behavior on the FX network's Nip/Tuck with my cable subscription.

In the episode that aired on September 26, a plastic surgeon treats a female patient who says her nipple was torn off when she tried to break up a dogfight. She is desperate to have the injury repaired and undetectable before her husband returns from Iraq. The husband returns after the surgery and confronts her in the doctor's office, revealing that she used peanut butter to seduce her dog and implying that her nipple was actually torn off when she was having sex with the dog.

It is outrageous that this kind of material is airing on television - period. Nip/Tuck is not my choice, and I don't want it coming into my home. But it is inexcusable for the cable industry to force me to pay for this content with my monthly cable subscription.

The solution is so simple - but so far Congress has done nothing but appease the deep-pocketed cable industry. What about consumers' rights?

Give us cable choice.

Offering parents the ability to choose the channels they want, and to pay only for those channels, puts power back in the hands of the consumer - of parents - and forces the producers of indecent or violent programming to fund their own raunch.

It is the only fair solution. Why should I be forced to pay for programming that insults my intelligence and assaults my values just to gain access to a handful of channels I can watch with my family.

The cable industry has been carried on the backs of American consumers long enough. It is time for this extortion to end.

Sincerely,

Karen Perry
904-000-0000

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

docket 04-207 Cable Choice AB

8/1/2007 8:37:44 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to cgoetz3@optonline.net.

cgoetz3@optonline.net wrote on 7/31/2007 9:21:51 PM :

Stephen Goetz
867 Stanley St.
West Islip, NY 11795-2610

July 31, 2007

Deborah Tate
FCC Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Deborah Tate:

I am disgusted to learn that I am being forced to help pay for scenes describing bestiality and other depraved behavior on the FX network's Nip/Tuck with my cable subscription. In the episode that aired on September 26, a plastic surgeon treats a female patient who says her nipple was torn off when she tried to break up a dogfight. She is desperate to have the injury repaired and undetectable before her husband returns from Iraq. The husband returns after the surgery and confronts her in the doctor's office, revealing that she used peanut butter to seduce her dog and implying that her nipple was actually torn off when she was having sex with the dog. It is outrageous that this kind of material is airing on television - period. Nip/Tuck is not my choice, and I don't want it coming into my home. But it is inexcusable for the cable industry to force me to pay for this content with my monthly cable subscription.

The solution is so simple - but so far Congress has done nothing but appease the deep-pocketed cable industry. What about consumers' rights?

Give us cable choice. Offering parents the ability to choose the channels they want, and to pay only for those channels, puts power back in the hands of the consumer - of parents - and forces the producers of indecent or violent programming to fund their own raunch. It is the only fair solution. Why should I be forced to pay for programming that insults my intelligence and assaults my values just to gain access to a handful of channels I can watch with my family. The cable industry has been carried on the backs of American consumers long enough. It is time for this extortion to end.

Sincerely,

Stephen J. Goetz Jr.

FILED/ACCEPTED

Docket 04-207 cable choice AB

NOV - 2 2007

jnaatz@d211.org wrote on 8/6/2007 11:32:33 AM :

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

James Naatz
214 Aspen Dr.
Schaumburg, IL 60194-3961

August 6, 2007

Kevin Martin
FCC Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Kevin Martin:

I am disgusted to learn that I am being forced to help pay for scenes describing bestiality and other depraved behavior on the FX network's Nip/Tuck with my cable subscription. In the episode that aired on September 26, a plastic surgeon treats a female patient who says her nipple was torn off when she tried to break up a dogfight. She is desperate to have the injury repaired and undetectable before her husband returns from Iraq. The husband returns after the surgery and confronts her in the doctor's office, revealing that she used peanut butter to seduce her dog and implying that her nipple was actually torn off when she was having sex with the dog. It is outrageous that this kind of material is airing on television - period. Nip/Tuck is not my choice, and I don't want it coming into my home. But it is inexcusable for the cable industry to force me to pay for this content with my monthly cable subscription.

The solution is so simple - but so far Congress has done nothing but appease the deep-pocketed cable industry. What about consumers' rights?

Give us cable choice. Offering parents the ability to choose the channels they want, and to pay only for those channels, puts power back in the hands of the consumer - of parents - and forces the producers of indecent or violent programming to fund their own raunch. It is the only fair solution. Why should I be forced to pay for programming that insults my intelligence and assaults my values just to gain access to a handful of channels I can watch with my family. The cable industry has been carried on the backs of American consumers long enough. It is time for this extortion to end.

Sincerely,

James Naatz
8478847709

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

This is Docket#04-207. Cable Choice ab

8/6/2007 10:16:09 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to hal112233@earthlink.net.

hal112233@earthlink.net wrote on 8/3/2007 9:06:24 PM :

Harold Swier
10945 SW 84 Ave.
Ocala, FL 34481-9796

August 3, 2007

Kevin Martin
FCC Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Kevin Martin:

I am disgusted to learn that I am being forced to help pay for scenes describing bestiality and other depraved behavior on the FX network's Nip/Tuck with my cable subscription.

In the episode that aired on September 26, a plastic surgeon treats a female patient who says her nipple was torn off when she tried to break up a dogfight. She is desperate to have the injury repaired and undetectable before her husband returns from Iraq. The husband returns after the surgery and confronts her in the doctor's office, revealing that she used peanut butter to seduce her dog and implying that her nipple was actually torn off when she was having sex with the dog.

It is outrageous that this kind of material is airing on television - period. Nip/Tuck is not my choice, and I don't want it coming into my home. But it is inexcusable for the cable industry to force me to pay for this content with my monthly cable subscription.

The solution is so simple - but so far Congress has done nothing but appease the deep-pocketed cable industry. What about consumers' rights?

Give us cable choice.

Offering parents the ability to choose the channels they want, and to pay only for those channels, puts power back in the hands of the consumer - of parents - and forces the producers of indecent or violent programming to fund their own raunch.

It is the only fair solution. Why should I be forced to pay for programming that insults my intelligence and assaults my values just to gain access to a handful of channels I can watch with my family.

The cable industry has been carried on the backs of American consumers long enough. It is time for this extortion to end.

Sincerely,

Harold J. Swier
352-854-1794

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Docket#04-207

-----Original Message-----

From: FCCInfo@fcc.gov

Sent: 7/26/2007 6:30:43 AM

To: stephanie.herseeth@mail.house.gov

Cc:

Subject: Re: CIMS00000476467 - Nip/Tuck proves the need for Cable Choice

Dear Consumer,

Thank you for contacting the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). This is an automated message to confirm that we have received your correspondence. We will review your information to determine how we can best serve you.

If you need to send additional information, you may reply back with this email, leaving the case number (example: CIMS0123456789) in the subject line, or contact us at our toll free phone number 1-888-Call-FCC (1-888-225-5322) and reference the case number.

The Federal Communications Commission

Visit us at our Web Site located at www.fcc.gov, where you will find a wealth of information on a wide variety of communications-related topics.

-----Original Message-----

stephanie.herseeth@mail.house.gov wrote:

Donald and carmen Miller
213 N. Main St.
Kennebec, SD 57544

July 25, 2007

Deborah Tate
FCC Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Deborah Tate:

I am disgusted to learn that I am being forced to help pay for scenes describing bestiality and other depraved behavior on the FX network's Nip/Tuck with my cable subscription. We do not want MTV and numerous other cable channels coming into our home. In fact, only about 10 channels are to our liking and it seems way past time that cable choice is made available.

It is outrageous that this kind of material is airing on television - period. Nip/Tuck is not my choice, and I don't want it coming into my home. But it is inexcusable for the cable industry to force me to pay for this content with my monthly cable subscription. The solution is so simple - but so far Congress has done nothing but appease the deep-pocketed cable industry. What about consumers' rights? Give us cable choice. Offering parents the ability to choose the channels they want, and to pay only for those channels, puts power back in the hands of the consumer - of parents - and forces the producers of indecent or violent programming to fund their own raunch. It is the only fair

solution. Why should I be forced to pay for programming that insults my intelligence and assaults my values just to gain access to a handful of channels I can watch with my family. The cable industry has been carried on the backs of American consumers long enough. It is time for this extortion to end. Please work for cable choice . thank you,

Sincerely,

Donald and carmen Miller
605 869 2363

7/26/2007 6:30:02 AM - Email Acknowledgement sent to stephanie.herseth@mail.house.gov.

stephanie.herseth@mail.house.gov wrote on 7/25/2007 8:28:56 PM :

Donald and carmen Miller
213 N. Main St.
Kennebec, SD 57544

July 25, 2007

Deborah Tate
FCC Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Deborah Tate:

I am disgusted to learn that I am being forced to help pay for scenes describing bestiality and other depraved behavior on the FX network's Nip/Tuck with my cable subscription. We do not want MTV and numerous other cable channels coming into our home. In fact , only about 10 channels are to our liking and it seems way past time that cable choice is made available.

It is outrageous that this kind of material is airing on television - period. Nip/Tuck is not my choice, and I don't want it coming into my home. But it is inexcusable for the cable industry to force me to pay for this content with my monthly cable subscription. The solution is so simple - but so far Congress has done nothing but appease the deep-pocketed cable industry. What about consumers' rights? Give us cable choice. Offering parents the ability to choose the channels they want, and to pay only for those channels, puts power back in the hands of the consumer - of parents - and forces the producers of indecent or violent programming to fund their own raunch. It is the only fair solution. Why should I be forced to pay for programming that insults my intelligence and assaults my values just to gain access to a handful of channels I can watch with my family. The cable industry has been carried on the backs of American consumers long enough. It is time for this extortion to end. Please work for cable choice . thank you,

Sincerely,

Donald and carmen Miller
605 869 2363
ab

FILED/ACCEPTED

NOV - 2 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

This is Docket#04-207. ab

7/17/2007 4:49:40 PM - Email Acknowledgement sent to face2005@msn.com.

face2005@msn.com wrote on 7/17/2007 12:14:45 PM :

Fayth Jones (face2005@msn.com) writes:

As an African American woman, I support CABLE CHOICE! I no longer want to pay for BET or ANY OTHER CHANNEL that demeans people with programming such as the upcoming "Hot Ghetto Mess."

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1

Remote host: 192.104.54.5

Remote IP address: 192.104.54.5